



University of Tehran Press

Comparative Law Review

Online ISSN: 2423-3404

Volume: 16, Issue: 2
Autumn & Winter
2025-2026

Homepage: <https://jcl.ut.ac.ir>

Consideration of the Challenges and Requirements of the Interoperability of Foreign Investment Norms and Human Rights

Ayat Mulaee¹  | Nasim Soleymani nezhad² 

1. Corresponding Author; Associate Professor, Department of Law, Faculty of Law and Social Sciences, University of Tabriz, Iran. Email: amulaee@tabrizu.ac.ir
2. PhD Student in Public Law, Faculty of Law and Social Sciences, University of Tabriz, Iran. Email: Soleymani.nazh@gmail.com

Article Info

Article Type:
Research Article

Received:
2023/10/15

Received in revised form:
2024/04/20

Accepted:
024/07/27

Published online:
2025/12/22

Abstract

The current international investment system walks a tightrope between fostering economic growth through foreign capital and upholding the fundamental human rights of citizens. Governments, eager to attract investment and reap its associated benefits, often prioritize investor satisfaction over citizen well-being. This prioritization can lead to a concerning neglect of human rights protections, essentially sacrificing the rights of the populace for economic development. This research delves into the key challenges hindering a harmonious relationship between foreign investment and human rights. Employing a library-based research method and a descriptive-analytical approach, the study analyzes the shortcomings of existing investment systems. A core assumption of this research is that neglecting comprehensive reform can have far-reaching negative consequences. Investment policies that prioritize foreign interests over public good can obstruct the realization of essential public services that benefit citizens, from healthcare to education. Furthermore, a flawed investment system can undermine broader human rights goals, impacting areas like labor rights, environmental protection, and social justice. For instance, when a foreign mining company operating in a developing country, disregards environmental regulations. This can lead to water contamination and health problems for local communities. The company, protected by a weak regulatory environment and potentially imbalanced investment treaties, prioritizes short-term profits over long-term environmental sustainability and the well-being of the local population. This exemplifies how the pursuit of foreign investment can come at a heavy human cost. Current investment treaties often fail to hold investors accountable for human rights violations that may occur during their operations in a host country. The weak legal framework at the time made it difficult to hold the company accountable, creating a situation where corporations could prioritize profit maximization over ethical considerations, with little to no repercussions for human rights abuses. Many treaties prioritize

Keywords:
Protective standards, normative interactions, conflict of obligations, human rights, international investment arbitration, foreign investment.

Article Info**Abstract**

investor protection over the regulatory power of the host state. An example is the case of *Philip Morris v. Uruguay*, where a tobacco company sued the Uruguayan government for implementing graphic health warnings on cigarette packages. The company argued that the policy infringed on their investment profits. This case highlights the chilling effect such treaties can have on a government's ability to enact policies that protect its citizens' health, even in the face of a public health crisis. Weak enforcement mechanisms and jurisdictional limitations further hinder accountability. Victims of human rights violations may lack the resources or legal standing to pursue legal action against powerful multinational corporations, creating a situation of impunity. For example, communities affected by environmental damage caused by foreign corporations in developing countries often face an uphill battle in seeking justice due to complex legal jurisdictions and limited access to legal resources. To achieve a more balanced system, this research proposes concrete solutions and reforms for the investment system. One potential reform could involve integrating human rights obligations for investors into investment treaties. This would require corporations to uphold human rights standards throughout their operations, ensuring they respect the rights of workers, local communities, and the environment. The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (2011) offer a framework for such obligations, outlining corporate responsibility to respect human rights throughout their global operations. Strengthening mechanisms for holding corporations accountable for human rights abuses is another crucial step. This could involve establishing international courts with jurisdiction over corporate human rights violations, providing victims with a more accessible and effective avenue for seeking justice. Additionally, empowering national courts in host countries to hear cases against foreign corporations operating within their borders would strengthen accountability. However, some might argue that reforms prioritizing human rights could deter foreign investment. A growing number of investors, however, are recognizing the importance of operating ethically and sustainably. A system that promotes responsible investment and respects human rights can attract investors seeking long-term stability and positive social impact. Furthermore, a well-regulated investment environment with clear human rights standards can foster trust and transparency, ultimately benefiting all stakeholders. Historically, the international investment system prioritized attracting capital, often at the expense of human rights and environmental considerations. While there have been attempts to integrate human rights concerns, such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, these efforts have been limited in their scope and enforcement mechanisms. Beyond legal reforms, ethical consumerism and activism can play a crucial role in promoting responsible investment. Consumers, by choosing products from companies with strong human rights records, can send a powerful message to corporations. Similarly, advocacy groups can expose human rights abuses by foreign corporations and pressure

Article Info	Abstract
	governments and international organizations to enact stricter regulations. In conclusion, the current international investment system faces a significant challenge in reconciling the pursuit of economic development with upholding human rights. By acknowledging the shortcomings of the current system, exploring the key challenges, and proposing concrete solutions, this research aims to contribute to a global dialogue on reforming the investment framework. A more just and sustainable system would require a multi-pronged approach, involving reforms to investment treaties, strengthening accountability mechanisms, and promoting responsible investment practices. Achieving such an investment system requires coordinated efforts by governments, corporations, civil society, and consumers to foster economic growth while ensuring respect for fundamental human rights.
How To Cite	Mulaee, Ayat; Soleymani Nezhad, Nasim (2025). Consideration of the Challenges and Requirements of the Interoperability of Foreign Investment Norms and Human Rights. <i>Comparative Law Review</i> , 16 (2), 739-758. DOI: https://doi.com/10.22059/jcl.2024.357765.634490
DOI	10.22059/jcl.2024.357765.634490
Publisher	The University of Tehran Press. 